



May 12, 2023

Mr. Dayne Doucet
Consolidated Mining Permit Lead
Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries
Mineral Land Regulation & Reclamation
229 Broadalbin St SW
Albany, Oregon 97321

**RE: Submittal of Documents in Response to Comment 391 in October 20, 2022,
Comments for the Consolidated Permit Application, Grassy Mountain Mine Project**

Dear Mr. Doucet:

This letter accompanies the submittal of the document listed below in response to Comment 391 in the October 20, 2022, Comments for the Consolidated Permit Application.

- CPA Appendix D18, *Spring and Seep Monitoring and Mitigation Plan* (May 2023)

The document submittal record for Comment 391 is attached. Please see the “Response (May 2023)” information for your consideration.

Please contact me at (775) 625-3600, glen@paramountnevada.com if you have questions or need clarification.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Glen van Treek', written over a horizontal line.

Glen van Treek
President

Calico Resources USA Corp./Paramount Gold Nevada Corp.
(775) 625-3600
glen@paramountnevada.com

Att: Document Submittal Record

Attachment
Document Submittal Record

Comment Number: 391

Comment Number: 391		Category: 1	Status: B
Topic: Groundwater/spring impacts		CPA Reference: Section 2.9.3.2 Groundwater production	
Commentor: ODFW			
The conclusion that only Lowe spring may be impacted by well production does not align with conclusions from the Groundwater Report that states Poison Spring, the Tank East of Negro Rock, and Lowe Spring may be impacted (Vol. II pg. 94 Groundwater Report). Please clarify.			
Initial Response to Comment: Section 2.9.3.2 does not conclude that only Lowe Spring is impacted by well production. The text states that "Drawdown effects are observed up to approximately 2 miles from the current highest producing well (PW-4), using a threshold of 0.5 ft of drawdown." It also says that Lowe Spring, the spring closest to the wellfield, indicated the maximum drawdown of 12 feet.			
Stantec – Comment Addressed as Indicated? NA	Stantec – Preliminary Assessment – Sufficient Response? NA	TRT Response: There needs to be increased clarity on the estimated impacts to other area springs. What will the impact be at the other springs. Category 1 and 2 "issue with consistency".	
Preliminary Response to Comment:			
Agency Comment: There needs to be increased clarity on the estimated impacts to other area springs. What will the impact be at the other springs. Category 1 and 2 "issue with consistency".			
Response to Comment (Feb 2023): The text in Section 2.9.3.2 will be revised to be consistent with the results of the Lorax groundwater modeling report recently submitted to the TRT.			
Response to Comment (May 2023): CPA Section 2.9.3, Mine Dewatering and Groundwater Production, has been updated to reflect the groundwater monitoring and analysis of the potential spring impact calculated in the Numerical Hydrogeologic Assessment (Lorax, 2022).			
The Spring and Seep Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (CPA Appendix D18) presents those potential impacts to the springs and seeps and details the proposed monitoring and mitigation efforts.			
The Spring and Seep Monitoring and Mitigation Plan (CPA Appendix D18) was submitted to DOGAMI on May 12, 2023.			